# Parallel Explicit Tube Model Predictive Control

Kai Wang<sup>1</sup>, Yuning Jiang<sup>1</sup>, Juraj Oravec<sup>2</sup>, Mario E. Villanueva<sup>1</sup>, Boris Houska<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ShanghaiTech University

<sup>2</sup>Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava







## Overview

#### **Background on Tube MPC**

- Model Predictive Control (MPC)
- Robust MPC
- Rigid Tube MPC

#### Contribution

- Parallel Real-time Optimization Algorithm
- Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Controller
- Numerical Example

Uncertain Control System:  $x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k, w_k)$ 

**Obstacles** 





Goal

Uncertain Control System:  $x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k, w_k)$ 



**Certainty equivalent MPC:** 

- Minimize distance to the dotted line
- Subject to: System dynamics  $x_{k+1} = f(x_k, u_k, \mathbf{0})$ State and input constraints



#### **Repeat:**

- apply optimal control law
- wait for new measurement
- re-optimize the trajectory



#### Problem:

- certainty equivalent prediction is optimistic
- infeasible (worst-case) scenarios possible

### What is Robust MPC?



#### Main idea:

- take all possible uncertainty scenarios into account
- design tailored feedback policies to react to uncertainties

Limitations:

- exponential number of scenarios
- much more expensive than certainty equivalent MPC

### What is Robust MPC?



#### Main idea:

- take all possible uncertainty scenarios into account
- design tailored feedback policies to react to uncertainties

#### Limitations:

- exponential number of scenarios
- much more expensive than certainty equivalent MPC



- enclose all trajectories by a tube of constant polytopic cross-sections
- optimize the central path of the tube



- enclose all trajectories by a tube of constant polytopic cross-sections
- optimize the central path of the tube



- enclose all trajectories by a tube of constant polytopic cross-sections
- optimize the central path of the tube



- enclose all trajectories by a tube of constant polytopic cross-sections
- optimize the central path of the tube



#### Uncertain control system:

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + w_k$$

#### **Constraints:**

 $x_k \in \mathbb{X}, u_k \in \mathbb{U}, w_k \in \mathbb{W}$ 

#### Nominal system: (disturbance-free)

 $q_{k+1} = Aq_k + Bv_k \text{ and } x_k = q_k + z_k$ 

Linear feedback law:

$$\mu(k, x_k) = v_k + K(x_k - q_k)$$

Z: denotes a precomputed robust invariant set, w.r.t.,

$$z_{k+1} = (A + BK)z_k + w_k$$



#### Uncertain control system:

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k + w_k$$

#### **Constraints:**

 $x_k \in \mathbb{X}, u_k \in \mathbb{U}, w_k \in \mathbb{W}$ 

#### Nominal system: (disturbance-free)

 $q_{k+1} = Aq_k + Bv_k \text{ and } x_k = q_k + z_k$ 

Linear feedback law:

$$\mu(k, x_k) = v_k + K(x_k - q_k)$$

 ${\cal Z}:$  denotes a precomputed robust invariant set, w.r.t.,

$$z_{k+1} = (A + BK)z_k + w_k$$



**Standard assumptions:** 

- $(A + BK) \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{X}_T, \ \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{X} \ominus Z$  and  $K\mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ$
- $m((A + BK)q) + \ell(q, Kq) \le m(q),$  $\forall q \in \mathbb{X}_T$

- stage cost:  $\ell(q, v) = q^{\mathsf{T}}Qq + v^{\mathsf{T}}Rv$
- terminal cost:  $m(q) = q^{\mathsf{T}} P q$
- P, Q and R are symmetric positive definite
- $\mathbb{X}_T$  : terminal constraint set



**Standard assumptions:** 

- $(A + BK) \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{X}_T, \ \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{X} \ominus Z$  and  $K\mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ$
- $m((A + BK)q) + \ell(q, Kq) \le m(q),$  $\forall q \in \mathbb{X}_T$

- stage cost:  $\ell(q, v) = q^{\mathsf{T}}Qq + v^{\mathsf{T}}Rv$
- terminal cost:  $m(q) = q^{\mathsf{T}} P q$
- P, Q and R are symmetric positive definite
- $X_T$  : terminal constraint set



#### **Standard assumptions:**

•  $(A + BK) \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{X}_T, \ \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{X} \ominus Z$  and  $K \mathbb{X}_T \subset \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ$ 

• 
$$m((A + BK)q) + \ell(q, Kq) \le m(q),$$
  
 $\forall q \in \mathbb{X}_T$ 

- stage cost:  $\ell(q, v) = q^{\mathsf{T}}Qq + v^{\mathsf{T}}Rv$
- terminal cost:  $m(q) = q^{\mathsf{T}} P q$
- P, Q and R are symmetric positive definite
- $X_T$  : terminal constraint set

## Overview

**Background on Tube MPC** 

- Robust Model Predictive Control (MPC)
- Tube-Based Robust MPC
- Rigid Tube MPC

#### Contribution

- Parallel Real-time Optimization Algorithm
- Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Scheme
- Numerical Example

Goal: develop a real-time Tube MPC algorithm with limited memory footprint

## Overview

**Background on Tube MPC** 

- Robust Model Predictive Control (MPC)
- Tube-Based Robust MPC
- Rigid Tube MPC

#### Contribution

- Parallel Real-time Optimization Algorithm
- Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Scheme
- Numerical Example

Goal: develop a real-time Tube MPC algorithm with limited memory footprint

$$V(x_0) = \min_{q,v} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(q_k, v_k) + m(q_N)$$
  
s.t. 
$$\begin{cases} \forall k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ q_{k+1} = Aq_k + Bv_k \\ q_k \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ v_k \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ x_0 \in \{q_0\} \oplus Z \\ q_N \in \mathbb{X}_T \end{cases}$$

- -

• Stacked vectors and matrices  $y_k = \begin{bmatrix} q_k^{\mathsf{T}} & v_k^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}, \ y_N = q_N$  $C = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}, \ D = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- Constraint sets  $\mathbb{Y}_{0} = \begin{cases} y_{0} \mid q_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{0} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{0} + Bv_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ x_{0} \in \{q_{0}\} \oplus Z \end{cases}$   $\mathbb{Y}_{k} = \begin{cases} y_{k} \mid q_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{k} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{k} + Bv_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \end{cases}$   $\mathbb{Y}_{N} = \{y_{N} \mid q_{N} \in \mathbb{X}_{T}\}$
- Ocst function

$$J_k(y_k) = \ell(q_k, v_k), \ J_N(y_N) = m(q_N)$$
$$J(y) = \sum_{k=0}^N J_k(y_k)$$

$$V(x_0) = \min_{q,v} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(q_k, v_k) + m(q_N)$$
  
s.t. 
$$\begin{cases} \forall k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ q_{k+1} = Aq_k + Bv_k \\ q_k \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ v_k \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ x_0 \in \{q_0\} \oplus Z \\ q_N \in \mathbb{X}_T \end{cases}$$

Stacked vectors and matrices

 $y_k = \begin{bmatrix} q_k^\mathsf{T} & v_k^\mathsf{T} \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T}, \ y_N = q_N$  $C = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}, \ D = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

- $$\begin{split} \mathbb{Y}_{0} &= \left\{ y_{0} \mid \begin{array}{l} q_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{0} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{0} + Bv_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ x_{0} \in \{q_{0}\} \oplus Z \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{Y}_{k} &= \left\{ y_{k} \mid \begin{array}{l} q_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{k} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{k} + Bv_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \end{array} \right\} \\ \mathbb{Y}_{N} &= \{ y_{N} \mid q_{N} \in \mathbb{X}_{T} \} \end{split}$$
- Ocst function

Constraint sets

$$J_k(y_k) = \ell(q_k, v_k), \ J_N(y_N) = m(q_N)$$
$$J(y) = \sum_{k=0}^N J_k(y_k)$$

$$V(x_0) = \min_{q,v} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(q_k, v_k) + m(q_N)$$
  
s.t.  
$$\begin{cases} \forall k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ q_{k+1} = Aq_k + Bv_k \\ q_k \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ v_k \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ \hline x_0 \in \{q_0\} \oplus Z \\ q_N \in \mathbb{X}_T \end{cases}$$

- Stacked vectors and matrices
  - $y_k = \begin{bmatrix} q_k^\mathsf{T} & v_k^\mathsf{T} \end{bmatrix}^\mathsf{T}, \ y_N = q_N$  $C = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}, \ D = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- Constraint sets  $\mathbb{Y}_{0} = \left\{ y_{0} \mid \begin{array}{c} q_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{0} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{0} + Bv_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ \hline x_{0} \in \{q_{0}\} \oplus Z \end{array} \right\}$   $\mathbb{Y}_{k} = \left\{ y_{k} \mid \begin{array}{c} q_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{k} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{k} + Bv_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \end{array} \right\}$   $\mathbb{Y}_{N} = \left\{ y_{N} \mid q_{N} \in \mathbb{X}_{T} \right\}$
- Cost function

$$J_k(y_k) = \ell(q_k, v_k), \ J_N(y_N) = m(q_N)$$
$$J(y) = \sum_{k=0}^N J_k(y_k)$$

$$V(x_0) = \min_{q,v} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(q_k, v_k) + m(q_N)$$
  
s.t.  
$$\begin{cases} \forall k = 0, \dots, N-1 \\ q_{k+1} = Aq_k + Bv_k \\ q_k \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ v_k \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ \hline x_0 \in \{q_0\} \oplus Z \\ q_N \in \mathbb{X}_T \end{cases}$$

• Stacked vectors and matrices  $y_k = \begin{bmatrix} q_k^{\mathsf{T}} & v_k^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}, \ y_N = q_N$  $C = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \end{bmatrix}, \ D = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Constraint sets

$$\mathbb{Y}_{0} = \left\{ y_{0} \mid \begin{array}{l} q_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{0} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{0} + Bv_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ \hline x_{0} \in \{q_{0}\} \oplus Z \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\mathbb{Y}_{k} = \left\{ y_{k} \mid \begin{array}{l} q_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{k} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{k} + Bv_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \end{array} \right\}$$
$$\mathbb{Y}_{N} = \left\{ y_{N} \mid q_{N} \in \mathbb{X}_{T} \right\}$$

Cost function

$$J_k(y_k) = \ell(q_k, v_k), \ J_N(y_N) = m(q_N)$$
$$J(y) = \sum_{k=0}^N J_k(y_k)$$

$$V(x_0) = \min_{q,v} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \ell(q_k, v_k) + m(q_N)$$

$$(V(x_0) = \min_{q,v} V(x_0) + M(q_k) + M$$

• Stacked vectors and matrices  $y_k = [q_k^{\mathsf{T}} \quad v_k^{\mathsf{T}}]^{\mathsf{T}}, \ y_N = q_N$  $C = [A \ B], \ D = [I \ 0]$ 

• Constraint sets  $\mathbb{Y}_{0} = \left\{ y_{0} \mid \begin{array}{c} q_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{0} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{0} + Bv_{0} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \\ \hline x_{0} \in \{q_{0}\} \oplus Z \end{array} \right\}$   $\mathbb{Y}_{k} = \left\{ y_{k} \mid \begin{array}{c} q_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z, \ v_{k} \in \mathbb{U} \ominus KZ \\ Aq_{k} + Bv_{k} \in \mathbb{X} \ominus Z \end{array} \right\}$   $\mathbb{Y}_{N} = \left\{ y_{N} \mid q_{N} \in \mathbb{X}_{T} \right\}$ 

Cost function  

$$J_k(y_k) = \ell(q_k, v_k), \ J_N(y_N) = m(q_N)$$

$$J(y) = \sum_{k=0}^N J_k(y_k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(x_0) &= \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_k(y_k) \\ & \\ S.t. \begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2, \} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_k = 0 \quad | \ \lambda_{k+1} \\ y_N - Cy_{N-1} = 0 \quad | \ \lambda_N \\ y_k \in \mathbb{Y}_k, y_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N-1} \\ y_N \in \mathbb{Y}_N \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$
 Unconstrained auxiliary optimization problem:  
$$V(x_0) &= \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_k(y_k - y_k^{ref}) \\ S.t. \begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_k = 0 \quad | \ \delta_{k+1} \\ y_N - Cy_{N-1} = 0 \quad | \ \delta_N \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- problem (1) approximates  $\bigstar$  without needing inequality constraints
- for  $y^{\mathrm{ref}} = y^{\star}$ , problems **①** and  $\bigstar$  are equivalent
- problem ① can be solved efficiently using a sparse linear algebra solver

$$V(x_{0}) = \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_{k}(y_{k})$$

$$V(x_{0}) = \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_{k}(y_{k})$$

$$S.t. \begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2, \} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_{k} = 0 \quad | \lambda_{k+1} \\ y_{N} - Cy_{N-1} = 0 \quad | \lambda_{N} \\ y_{k} \in \mathbb{Y}_{k}, y_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N-1} \\ y_{N} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N} \end{cases}$$

$$Unconstrained auxiliary optimization problem:$$

$$V(x_{0}) = \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_{k}(y_{k} - y_{k}^{ref})$$

$$V(x_{0}) = \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_{k}(y_{k} - y_{k}^{ref})$$

$$S.t. \begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_{k} = 0 \quad | \delta_{k+1} \\ y_{N} - Cy_{N-1} = 0 \quad | \delta_{N} \end{cases}$$

- problem (1) approximates  $\precsim$  without needing inequality constraints
- for  $y^{\text{ref}} = y^{\star}$ , problems **①** and  $\bigstar$  are equivalent
- problem ① can be solved efficiently using a sparse linear algebra solver

$$V(x_{0}) = \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_{k}(y_{k})$$
s.t.
$$\begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2, \} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_{k} = 0 & | \lambda_{k+1} \\ y_{N} - Cy_{N-1} = 0 & | \lambda_{N} \\ y_{k} \in \mathbb{Y}_{k}, y_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N-1} \\ y_{N} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N} \end{cases} \qquad \bigcirc \qquad g$$

Notice that (2) has a separable structure :

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{0}^{j} &= \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_{0}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad J_{0}(\xi) - (C^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{1}^{j})^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_{0}(\xi - y_{0}^{j}) \\ \xi_{k}^{j} &= \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_{k}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad J_{k}(\xi) + (D^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{k}^{j} - C^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{k+1}^{j})^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_{k}(\xi - y_{k}^{j}) \\ \xi_{N}^{j} &= \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_{N}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad J_{N}(\xi) + (\lambda_{N}^{j})^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_{N}(\xi - y_{N}^{j}) \end{aligned}$$
 strictly convex quadratic programs

Augmented Lagrangian optimization problem:

$$\xi^{j} = \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\xi) + (G^{\mathsf{T}}\lambda^{j})^{\mathsf{T}}\xi \qquad \textcircled{2}$$
$$+ (\xi - y^{j})^{\mathsf{T}}H(\xi - y^{j})$$
$$y^{j} \text{ and } \lambda^{j} \text{ current approximations, and}$$

• 
$$G = \begin{pmatrix} -C & D & & 0 \\ & -C & D & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & -C & I \end{pmatrix}, \ H = \nabla^2 J(y)$$

$$V(x_{0}) = \min_{y} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_{k}(y_{k})$$

$$S.t. \begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2, \} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_{k} = 0 & | \lambda_{k+1} \\ y_{N} - Cy_{N-1} = 0 & | \lambda_{N} \\ y_{k} \in \mathbb{Y}_{k}, y_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N-1} \\ y_{N} \in \mathbb{Y}_{N} \end{cases}$$

Augmented Lagrangian optimization problem:

$$\xi^{j} = \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\xi) + (G^{\mathsf{T}}\lambda^{j})^{\mathsf{T}}\xi \quad \textcircled{2}$$
$$+ (\xi - y^{j})^{\mathsf{T}}H(\xi - y^{j})$$

• 
$$y^{j}$$
 and  $\lambda^{j}$  current approximations, and  
•  $G = \begin{pmatrix} -C & D & 0 \\ & -C & D & 0 \\ & & -C & D \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & -C & I \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $H = \nabla^{2} J(y)$ 

#### Notice that (2) has a separable structure :

$$\begin{split} & \left\{ \begin{aligned} \xi_0^j &= \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_0}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad J_0(\xi) - (C^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_1^j)^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_0(\xi - y_0^j) \\ & \xi_k^j &= \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad J_k(\xi) + (D^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_k^j - C^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{k+1}^j)^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_k(\xi - y_k^j) \\ & \xi_N^j &= \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad J_N(\xi) + (\lambda_N^j)^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_N(\xi - y_N^j) \end{aligned} \right\}$$
strictly convex quadratic programs

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_0^j &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_0} \ J_0(\xi) - (C^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_1^j)^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_0(\xi - y_0^j) \\ \xi_k^j &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_k} \ J_k(\xi) + (D^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_k^j - C^{\mathsf{T}} \lambda_{k+1}^j)^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_k(\xi - y_k^j) \\ \xi_N^j &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\xi \in \mathbb{Y}_N} \ J_N(\xi) + (\lambda_N^j)^{\mathsf{T}} \xi + J_N(\xi - y_N^j) \end{aligned}$$



The memory requirements do not depend on the prediction horizon  $oldsymbol{N}$ 



#### The memory requirements do not depend on the prediction horizon $oldsymbol{N}$

#### **Optimization Algorithm:**

For  $j = 1 \rightarrow m$  (with initial guesses  $y^1$  and  $\lambda^1$ ) **Step1:** input  $y^j = [y_0^j, \ldots, y_N^j], \lambda^j = [\lambda_1^j, \ldots, \lambda_N^j]$ **Step2:** compute  $\xi^{j} = (\xi_{0}^{j}, \xi_{1}^{j}, \dots, \xi_{N}^{j})$  using decoupled QPs **Step3:** set  $y^{\text{ref}} = 2\xi^j - y^j$ Step4: update next iterate using coupled QP:  $y^{j+1} = \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{k=0}^{k} J_k(y_k - y_k^{\operatorname{ref}})$ s.t.  $\begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_k = 0 \quad | \ \delta_{k+1} \\ y_N - Cy_{N-1} = 0 \quad | \ \delta_N \end{cases}$  $\lambda^{j+1} = \lambda^j + \delta^j$ End

#### **Optimization Algorithm:**

For  $j = 1 \rightarrow m$  (with initial guesses  $y^1$  and  $\lambda^1$ ) **Step1:** input  $y^j = [y_0^j, \ldots, y_N^j], \lambda^j = [\lambda_1^j, \ldots, \lambda_N^j]$ **Step2:** compute  $\xi^j = (\xi_0^j, \xi_1^j, \dots, \xi_N^j)$  using decoupled QPs **Step3:** set  $y^{\text{ref}} = 2\xi^j - y^j$ Step4: update next iterate using coupled QP:  $y^{j+1} = \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{k=0} J_k(y_k - y_k^{\operatorname{ref}})$ s.t.  $\begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_k = 0 & | \delta_{k+1} \\ y_N - Cy_{N-1} = 0 & | \delta_N \end{cases}$  $\lambda^{j+1} = \lambda^j + \delta^j$ End

#### **Optimization Algorithm:**

For  $j = 1 \rightarrow m$  (with initial guesses  $y^1$  and  $\lambda^1$ ) **Step1:** input  $y^j = [y_0^j, \ldots, y_N^j], \lambda^j = [\lambda_1^j, \ldots, \lambda_N^j]$ **Step2:** compute  $\xi^j = (\xi_0^j, \xi_1^j, \dots, \xi_N^j)$  using decoupled QPs **Step3:** set  $y^{\text{ref}} = 2\xi^j - y^j$ **Step4:** update next iterate using coupled QP:  $y^{j+1} = \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_k(y_k - y_k^{\operatorname{ref}})$ s.t.  $\begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_k = 0 \quad | \ \delta_{k+1} \\ y_N - Cy_{N-1} = 0 \quad | \ \delta_N \end{cases}$  $\lambda^{j+1} = \lambda^j + \delta^j$ End

#### **Optimization Algorithm:**

For  $j = 1 \rightarrow m$  (with initial guesses  $y^1$  and  $\lambda^1$ ) **Step1:** input  $y^j = [y_0^j, \ldots, y_N^j], \lambda^j = [\lambda_1^j, \ldots, \lambda_N^j]$ **Step2:** compute  $\xi^j = (\xi_0^j, \xi_1^j, \dots, \xi_N^j)$  using decoupled QPs **Step3:** set  $y^{\text{ref}} = 2\xi^j - y^j$ **Step4:** update next iterate using coupled QP:  $y^{j+1} = \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{k=0}^{N} J_k(y_k - y_k^{\operatorname{ref}})$ s.t.  $\begin{cases} \forall k \in \{0, \dots, N-2\} \\ Dy_{k+1} - Cy_k = 0 & | \delta_{k+1} \\ y_N - Cy_{N-1} = 0 & | \delta_N \end{cases}$  $\lambda^{j+1} = \lambda^j + \delta^j$ 

End

The algorithm converges linearly:  $(0 < \kappa < 1)$  $J(y^{j+1} - y^*) + J^*(\lambda^{j+1} - \lambda^*) \le \kappa \left(J(y^j - y^*) + J^*(\lambda^j - \lambda^*)\right)$ 

### Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Controller



### Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Controller



### **Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Controller**



## Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Controller (Recursive Feasibility)



#### **Recursive Feasibility**

we assume that the state constant set X to be robust control invariant, the suboptimal solution preserves the recursive feasibility

## Parallel Explicit Tube MPC Controller (Stability)

# 

the proposed scheme yields an **asymptotically stable** closed-loop controller.

- $0 < \kappa < 1$ : linear convergence rate
- $\eta, \tau > 0$ : satisfy the inequality  $|V(x_0^+) V(x_1^\star)| \le \eta \|x_0^+ x_1^\star\|_Q + \frac{\tau}{2} \|x_0^+ x_1^\star\|_Q^2$
- $\sigma > 0$ : satisfies the inequality  $\|x_0^+ x_1^\star\|_Q^2 \le \sigma \kappa^m J_0(y_0^\star)$

#### **Numerical Example**

- Double integrator example:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$
- Disturbance set:  $\mathbb{W} = \{ w \mid ||w||_{\infty} \leq 0.1 \}$
- State and input constraint:  $\mathbb{X} = \{x \mid [0 \ 1]x \leq 2\}, \quad \mathbb{U} = \{u \mid |u| \leq 1\}$
- Matrices:  $Q = I, R = 0.1, K = -(0.62, 1.27), P = \begin{pmatrix} 2.06 & 0.60 \\ 0.60 & 1.40 \end{pmatrix}$



### **Numerical Example (Memory Requirements)**

#### Parallel Explicit Tube MPC

**Explicit Tube MPC** 

N	number of regions	memory [KB]		N
10	456	36		10
20	456	36	VC	20
30	456	36	VS	30
50	456	36		50
•	456	36		70

$\overline{N}$	number of regions	memory [KB]
10	1648	174
20	5312	1028
30	11050	3108
50	25160	11500
70	42700	27066

### Numerical Example (Runtime Performance)



## Summary

#### **Conclusions:**

- Derived a real-time and parallelizable Tube MPC scheme
- Maintained recursive feasibility and stability
- Reduced the storage of Explicit MPC by orders of magnitude

Future work:

- Implementation on the embedded applications
- Extension to nonlinear systems

## Summary

#### **Conclusions:**

- Derived a real-time and parallelizable Tube MPC scheme
- Maintained recursive feasibility and stability
- Reduced the storage of Explicit MPC by orders of magnitude

#### Future work:

- Implementation on the embedded applications
- Extension to nonlinear systems

## Summary

#### **Conclusions:**

- Our Derived a real-time and parallelizable Tube MPC scheme
- Maintained recursive feasibility and stability
- Reduced the storage of Explicit MPC by orders of magnitude

#### Future work:

- Implementation on the embedded applications
- Extension to nonlinear systems

**Contact:** wangkail@shanghaitech.edu.cn

# Thank you! Questions?